



ATTENTION

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

Petition for Authorization and Instruction as to Management of Conservatee's Estate Assets (Authority to Invest Funds)

			PUBLIC GUARDIAN , Successor Conservator of the Estate appointed on 7/5/1995, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			~Please see Petition for details~	<u>Continued from 4/21/2016.</u> Minute Order states the Court wants more information regarding RIA and the rates of return for other comparable accounts they are managing for the Public Guardian's office; the information is to be filed at least one week prior to 6/2/2016.
Cont. from 042116			~Please see additional page~	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
✓	Verified			
	Inventory			
	PTC			
	Not.Cred.			
✓	Notice of Hrg			
✓	Aff.Mail	W/		
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
	Pers.Serv.			
	Conf. Screen			
	Letters			
	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			
	Status Rpt			
	UCCJEA			
	Citation			
	FTB Notice			

Petitioner prays for an order that the Court authorize the Public Guardian to systematically invest the Conservatee's assets through the diversified portfolio presented by REGENCY INVESTMENT ADVISORS.

Note for reference: Probate Code § 2570(d) for authorization of investments provides the court may require such proof of fairness and feasibility of *[the investment]* as the court determines is necessary. Probate Code § 2574 provides the conservator, without authorization of court, may invest funds of the estate *[in enumerated types of investments, which appear to describe the proposed investment for Conservatee]*; 2574(b) provides that in making the investments, the conservator shall take into consideration the circumstances of the estate, indicated cash needs, and, if reasonably ascertainable, the date of the prospective termination of the conservatorship.

DOD: 06/21/99	MARTHA HUERTA , daughter, was appointed as Administrator with full IAEA and with bond set at \$110,000.00 on 04/02/15.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: 1. Need First Account or Petition for Final Distribution.
Cont. from	Receipt of Bond filed 05/13/2015 in the amount of \$110,000.00.	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Letters issued on 05/13/2015.	Reviewed by: LV Reviewed on: 05/31/2016 Updates: Recommendation: File 6- Garcia
Verified	Final Inventory and Appraisal filed 08/03/2015 shows an estate valued at \$55,000.00.	
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail	Minute Order from 04/02/15 set this hearing for status regarding filing of the First and/or Final Account.	
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen	Declaration of Philip M. Flanigan and Status Report and Request for Continuance filed 05/26/2016 states on the primary asset in the estate is the decedent's home. Petitioner's brother was living with the decedent and still resides in the property. Petitioner has advised him that he needs to move but he has not been able to find a new place as he works out of town and has not had the time. The home is in need of some repairs that need to be completed before it can be sold. Petitioner respectfully requests that this status hearing be continued for a period of ninety days to allow her to get her brother to agree to move or to initiate proceedings to have him evicted. At that time the property will be listed for sale. Once the property is sold the Final Account and Petition to close the estate will be filed.	
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		

Attorney Gary G. Bagdasarian (for Petitioner Beverly E. Kitchen, Administrator)

**First and Final Account and Report of Status of Administration of
Administrator and Petition for Settlement Thereof; Waiver of Administrator's
Commissions and Attorney's Fees; for Costs Reimbursement and for Final
Distribution**

DOD: 10/31/2014		BEVERLY E. KITCHEN , spouse and Administrator, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: 1. Paragraph 21 of the <i>Petition</i> requests a closing reserve of \$1,000.00 ; however, Paragraph 22 does not deduct the \$1,000.00 from the cash distribution sum. Need clarification regarding whether the closing reserve is requested, and if so, need revised cash distribution amounts, which would be \$2,577.94 to each. (<i>Note: If Petitioner confirms a closing reserve is requested, Court may require notice to be served of the decreased distribution sums to the distributees.</i>)
		Account period: 8/14/2015 – 3/31/2016	
Cont. from		Accounting - \$19,612.55	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Beginning POH - \$19,612.55	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified	Ending POH - \$19,612.55 (all cash)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Inventory		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PTC	Administrator - waives	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.	Attorney - waives	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg	Administrator Reimb. - \$12,145.67 (\$11,771.67 for reimbursement of funeral expenses paid; \$374.00 for reimbursement of life insurance premiums paid;)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail		
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.	Administrator Costs - \$876.00 (filing fee, publication, certified copies)	
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen	Attorney Costs - \$435.00 (filing fee)	
	Letters 081415		
	Duties/Supp	Closing - \$1,000.00 (liabilities for fiduciary income tax return)	
	Objections		
	Video Receipt	Distribution pursuant to Agreement for Settlement of Estate executed 8/6/2015 is to:	
	CI Report		
	9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order	BEVERLY KITCHEN – [\$3,077.94 or \$2,577.94 cash?]	
	Aff. Posting	ANTOINETTE THIEL – [\$3,077.94 or \$2,577.94 cash?]	
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		

Reviewed by: LEG
Reviewed on: 5/31/16
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 7- Kitchen

Attorney Mario D. Vega; Robert S. Parade; of Los Angeles (for John E. Rogers, Jr., Esq.)

Petition for Letters of Administration; Authorization to Administer
Under the Independent Administration of Estates Act

DOD: 4/27/2013		<p>JOHN E. ROGERS, JR., ESQ., was appointed Special Administrator with no IAEA Authority without bond with special powers on 8/13/2015.</p> <p>LETTERS OF SPECIAL ADMINISTRATION EXPIRE ON 9/10/2015; extended to 10/29/2015; extended to 6/2/2016</p> <p>JOHN E. ROGERS, JR., ESQ., nominee of Decedent's father, MELVIN COOPER, JR., is Petitioner and requests appointment as Administrator with Limited IAEA authority without bond.</p> <p>Limited IAEA — OK</p> <p>Decedent died intestate.</p> <p>Residence — Fresno Publication — Business Journal</p> <p>Estimated value of the Estate: Personal property - \$0.00* <i>*The estate has no assets except for a wrongful death action with regard to Decedent's death.</i></p> <p>Probate Referee: Steven Diebert</p> <p>Petitioner states:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sole heir of the estate is Decedent's father, MELVIN COOPER, JR., pursuant to Probate Code § 6402; Attorneys NAZARETH HAYSBERT and MILIN CHUN are attorneys with BOUCHER LLP, the law firm involved with the wrongful death lawsuit filed in federal court on behalf of Decedent's estate. <p>Supplemental Declaration filed 10/27/2015 states [briefly] that John E. Rogers, Jr. is a licensed California Attorney who has no relationship to Decedent, but who would be able to obtain the necessary probate bond; the federal Court has ordered in the wrongful death action that responsive pleadings be filed by 12/11/2015.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: Continued from 10/29/2015. Minute Order states Counsel represents that there are no assets other than the anticipated proceeds from a successful personal injury case. The Court extends the Letters of Special Administration to 6/2/2016. A verified status report is to be filed by 5/31/2016, and Counsel is ordered to be personally present in court or via CourtCall on 6/2/2016.</p> <p>~Please see additional page~</p>
Cont. from 091015, 102915			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail <small>W /</small>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		

Reviewed by: LEG

Reviewed on: 5/24/16

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 8 – Cooper

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS, continued:

Note Re Notice: *Proof of Service by Mail of the Notice of Petition to Administer Estate* filed 10/1/2015 shows notice served to 7 of the 8 persons listed in Item 8 of the *Petition* was mailed to the same address in Fresno for each of the persons, who are identified as Decedent's father and adult siblings. Given that Decedent's father is entitled to inherit the entire estate at this time, address confirmation of the 8 persons is not requested.

Note Re Special Administration: *Ex Parte Order Appointing Special Administrator* filed 8/13/2015 authorizes the Petitioner special powers to pursue wrongful death litigation and to pursue any claims associated with the lawsuit on behalf of the Decedent's estate. *Ex Parte Petition* indicated that appointment of a special administrator was necessary to avoid dismissal of the initial wrongful death complaint which was filed 4/28/2015 by **BOUCHER, LLP**, in federal court without anyone having been appointed as administrator of Decedent's estate, and an amended complaint was required by 8/26/2015.

Note Re Bond: *Attachment 3(d)* to the *Petition* states Petitioner requests no bond be required until such time as assets come into the estate, as there are no funds with which to pay a bond premium. If assets are recovered from litigation on behalf of Decedent's estate, a petition to the Court will be required regarding the need for bond pursuant to Probate Code § 8482 and CA Rule of Court 7.204, which provides that immediately upon the occurrence of facts making it necessary or appropriate to increase the amount of the bond, the personal representative or the attorney must make an ex parte application for an order increasing the bond. Alternatively, the petition can request all funds be placed into a blocked account for the estate.

Note Re Future Hearings: If Petition for Letters of Administration is granted, Court may set status hearings as follows:

- **Thursday, February 2, 2017 (8 months, to allow additional time for litigation) at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. 303** for filing of inventory and appraisal; and
- **Thursday, November 2, 2017 (17 months, to allow additional time for litigation) at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. 303** for filing of first account and/or petition for final distribution.

Pursuant Local Rule 7.5, if the documents noted above are filed 10 days prior to the dates listed, the hearings will be taken off calendar and no appearance will be required.

Attorney Vega, Mario D.; Parada, Robert S.; of Los Angeles (for John E. Rogers, Jr., Esq.)

**Petition for Letters of Administration; Authorization to Administer
Under the Independent Administration of Estates Act**

DOD: 11/10/2013	JOHN E. ROGERS, JR., ESQ. , nominee of Decedent's mother, RAMONA TALAMANTEZ , is Petitioner and requests appointment as Administrator with Limited IAEA authority without bond.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Cont. from 091015, 102915		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.	Limited IAEA — OK	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified		
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory	Decedent died intestate.	
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg	Residence — Fresno Publication — Business Journal	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail	W/	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.	Estimated value of the Estate: Personal property - \$0.00* <i>*The estate has no assets except for a wrongful death action with regard to Decedent's death.</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Letters	Probate Referee: Rick Smith	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt	Petitioner states: This estate has no assets and has been opened for the purpose of having a representative to file a wrongful death action with regard to Decedent's death.	
<input type="checkbox"/> CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/> 9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order	Supplemental Declaration filed 10/27/2015 states [briefly] that John E. Rogers, Jr. is a licensed California Attorney who has no relationship to Decedent, but who would be able to obtain the necessary probate bond.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice		
		Continued from 10/29/2015. Minute Order states Counsel represents that there are no assets other than the anticipated proceeds from a successful personal injury case. A verified status report is to be filed by 5/31/2016, and Counsel is ordered to be personally present in court or via CourtCall on 6/2/2016.
		~Please see additional page~
		Reviewed by: LEG
		Reviewed on: 5/24/16
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 9 – Mendoza

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS, continued:

Note Re Bond: Attachment 3(d) to the *Petition* states Petitioner requests no bond be required until such time as assets come into the estate, as there are no funds with which to pay a bond premium. If assets are recovered from litigation on behalf of Decedent's estate, a petition to the Court will be required regarding the need for bond pursuant to Probate Code § 8482 and CA Rule of Court 7.204, which provides that immediately upon the occurrence of facts making it necessary or appropriate to increase the amount of the bond, the personal representative or the attorney must make an ex parte application for an order increasing the bond. Alternatively, the petition can request all funds be placed into a blocked account for the estate.

Note Re Future Hearings: Court will set status hearings as follows:

- **Thursday, February 2, 2017 (8 months, to allow additional time for litigation) at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. 303** for filing of inventory and appraisal; and
- **Thursday, November 2, 2017 (17 months, to allow additional time for litigation) at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. 303** for filing of first account and/or petition for final distribution.

Pursuant Local Rule 7.5, if the documents noted above are filed 10 days prior to the dates listed, the hearings will be taken off calendar and no appearance will be required.

11 The Thomas J. Zumwalt and Mary Diane Zumwalt Revocable Family Trust
Dated October 26, 2001 **Case No. 15CEPR01175**
Attorney: Gary G. Bagdasarian (for Petitioner Mary Diane Zumwalt)

Petition to Terminate Trust

		MARY DIANE ZUMWALT , sole Trustee of the Thomas J. Zumwalt and Mary Diane Zumwalt Revocable Family Trust, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: On 3/8/16 the Court took the matter under submission – vacated pursuant to Order on Petition to Terminate Trust [Vacating submission and providing for resubmission pursuant to Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 2.900, subd. (b)] filed on 4/14/16. The court issued this interim order requiring Petitioner to: 1) lodge the original Trust document with the court, for <i>in camera</i> inspection; and 2) file a declaration establishing the transfer of assets to the Trust and setting forth Petitioner's contention as to the nature of the Trust assets and support therefor. The court placed the matter back on calendar for the limited purpose of allowing Petitioner to comply with the order, and any additional oral arguments, after which the court will again take the matter under submission for a final ruling on the Petition. If more time is needed by petitioner to comply with the court's order herein, petitioner may submit an ex parte petition requesting the same. – Original Trust lodged on 5/3/16. Declaration of Mary Zumwalt as to Character of Assets filed on 5/31/16 (please see last page).
Cont. from 011916, 020216, 030816, 050516			
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	Verified		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
✓	Notice of Hrg		
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
✓	Order		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		

Petitioner states THOMAS J. ZUMWALT and MARY DIANE ZUMWALT, husband and wife, entered into that certain Thomas J. Zumwalt and Mary Diane Zumwalt Revocable Family Trust dated October 26, 2001.

Thomas J. Zumwalt died on 8/6/15. Thereinafter Mary Diane Zumwalt became the sole Trustee.

Section 303 of the Trust provides that "The Trustee shall hold, administer and distribute all Trust assets for the benefit of the surviving spouse, both as to income and principal unless otherwise herein provided." Consequently, no Irrevocable Trust was directed to be created and the Trust remained Revocable as reflected in its name.

The Trust provides that upon the death of the surviving Trustor, Petitioner herein, the Trust shall terminate and the assets divide in four equal shares to the following beneficiaries: Thomas Zumwalt, Timothy Zumwalt, Robert Zumwalt and Daniel H. Zumwalt.

Although the Trust does not provide specifically that the surviving spouse, Petitioner herein, Mary Zumwalt, retains the power to revoke, the title of the Trust is the Revocable Family Trust and there is no specific language requiring the creation of an Irrevocable Trust.

Please see additional page

Reviewed by: KT
Reviewed on: 5/31/16
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 11- Zumwalt

11 The Thomas J. Zumwalt and Mary Diane Zumwalt Revocable Family Trust
Dated October 26, 2001 Case No. 15CEPR01175

Wherefore, Mary Diane Zumwalt, prays for a Court Order as follows:

1. The Thomas J. Zumwalt and Mary Diane Zumwalt Revocable Family Trust dated October 26, 2001 is terminated in its entirety;
2. All assets of the Thomas J. Zumwalt and Mary Diane Zumwalt Revocable Family Trust dated October 26, 2001 be distributed to Mary Diane Zumwalt.

Declaration of Gary Bagdasarian filed on 1/28/16 states all of the assets of the Trust were the community property of the Settlor, Thomas J. Zumwalt and Mary Diane Zumwalt.

All of the assets were community property, pursuant to Family Code §761 Mary Diane Zumwalt, the sole surviving settlor, acting alone, has the power to revoke the Trust as to community property.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

1. Probate Code §15401(b)(1) states "Unless otherwise provided in the instrument, if a trust is created by more than one settlor, each settlor may revoke the trust as to the portion of the trust contributed by that settlor, except as provided in Section 761 of the Family Code." Section 761 of the Family Code gives either settlor acting alone the power to revoke as to community property. Under the commentary for Probate Code §15401 it states "A husband and wife created a trust with community property which expressly allowed revocation "at any time during the lifetime of either Trustor." After the wife died, the husband revoked the trust. This was effective only as to his half of the trust corpus because upon the wife's death the community interests were converted into separate property, one half of which belongs to the wife. *In re: Estate of Powell*, 83 Cal.App.4th 1434, 100 Cal.Rptr.2d 501 (3d Dist. 2000).

Probate Code §100 provides: "Upon the death of a married person, one-half of the community property belongs to the surviving spouse and the other half belongs to the decedent.

2. The Trust does not include a Schedule "A" listing the assets of the Trust. Probate Code §15202 states "A trust is created only if there is trust property."

Declaration of Gary Bagdasarian filed on 3/4/16 states while Probate Code §100 provides that upon the death of a married person, one-half of the community property belongs to the surviving spouse and the other half belongs to the decedent. As indicated in the case of *In re: Estate of Powell*, 83 Cal.App.4th 1434, 100 Cal.Rptr.2d 501 (3d Dist. 2000), a 1991 Trust permitted "revocation during a lifetime of either trustor." The Court goes on to say "thus, to the extent William and Myrtle retained reversionary property in the in the trust assets during Myrtles lifetime by virtue of the right of revocation provided in the trust , those property interest were transmuted from community to separate upon Myrtle's death." In the instant case, there was no reversionary property interest in trust assets because there was no "right of revocation provided in the trust." Consequently, the property interest were not transmuted from community property to separate property upon the death of Thomas J. Zumwalt and remained community property. Therefore Mary Diane Zumwalt has the power to revoke the entire trust composed of community property.

Please see additional page.

11 The Thomas J. Zumwalt and Mary Diane Zumwalt Revocable Family Trust
Dated October 26, 2001 Case No. 15CEPR01175

Declaration of Mary Diane Zumwalt as to Character of Assets filed on 5/31/16. Ms. Zumwalt states she and decedent were married on 11/29/1941 in Yuma, Arizona. They continuously lived together as husband and wife from the date of their marriage to the date of Decedent's death on 8/6/15 in excess of 73 years.

At the time of marriage, Petitioner and Decedent's assets consisted of personal items of nominal value. Decedent was not indebted to any significant extent.

During the marriage Decedent did not receive any other property by inheritances that wasn't transmuted to community property.

Since the date of the marriage, Petitioner and Decedent have resided in the State of California and have each been employed.

All of the assets in the Thomas J. Zumwalt and Mary Diane Zumwalt Revocable Family Trust dated October 26, 2001 were derived from accumulations as a result of earnings by Decedent and Petitioner during their marriage.

Spousal Property Petition

DOD: 9/28/2015		<p>SUSAN L. WAITE, surviving spouse, is petitioner</p> <p>No other proceedings</p> <p>Decedent died intestate</p>	<p>Petitioner states decedent obtained real property in June 1997. Petitioner moved in with decedent the end of 2002 or early 2003 and they lived as husband and wife. Decedent and petitioner were married on 8/18/2011. Although real property was held in the name of decedent alone, petitioner and decedent lived together in the property and petitioner continues to live there. They paid all expenses related to the property from their community funds and both expended their community time and efforts in the maintenance of the property. From late 2002 or early 2003 until the present, petitioner has paid or shared in the payment of the mortgage, insurance, taxes, maintenance and household expenses. Petitioner has continued to pay the mortgage on the property since decedent's death. Decedent expressed on multiple occasions that the house was petitioner's and decedent's. Decedent had two children who are both adults and have acknowledged the property as the community property of decedent and petitioner.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>CONTINUED TO 7/7/2016 Per Attorney request</p> <p><u>Minute order dated 4/28/2016</u> continued this matter to 6/2/2016 at counsel's request, to address the issue raised by the examiner.</p> <p>1. Petitioner states decedent obtained real property prior to petitioner and decedent's marriage. Therefore, it appears at least a portion of the property the petitioner is trying to pass in this spousal property petition is decedent's separate property pursuant to Family Code 770(2). Therefore, pursuant to Probate Codes 13500, 13501(a) and 6401, it appears this property may not be subject to this type of proceeding.</p>
Cont. from 042816				
Aff.Sub.Wit.				
✓ Verified				
Inventory				
PTC				
Not.Cred.				
✓ Notice of Hrg				
✓ Aff.Mail	w/			
Aff.Pub.				
Sp.Ntc.		<p>Petitioner requests court confirmation that ½ interest in real property at 5016 East Thomas Avenue, Fresno CA, belongs to her and that ½ interest passes to her.</p>	<p>Reviewed by: SEF</p> <p>Reviewed on: 5/27/2016</p> <p>Updates: 5/31/16 (LEG)</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>File 15- Waite</p>	
Pers.Serv.				
Conf. Screen				
Letters				
Duties/Supp				
Objections				
Video Receipt				
CI Report				
9202				
✓ Order				
Aff. Posting				
Status Rpt				
UCCJEA				
Citation				
FTB Notice				

Petitioner Gretta Christa (Pro Per, daughter)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person

		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: CONTINUED TO 6/30/2016 Per Petitioner's request
Cont. from		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
	Verified	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
	Notice of Hrg	
	Aff.Mail	
	Aff.Pub.	
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf. Screen	
	Letters	
	Duties/Supp	
	Objections	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
	Order	
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	
		Reviewed by: LEG
		Reviewed on: 5/26/16
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 19- Petrossian